

Review Article

Ethnocentricity and Behavioral Disorders: A Review

<https://doi.org/10.70357/jdamc.2026.v1002.10>

*Tarafdar MA

Abstract

Ethnocentricity, the belief in the superiority of one's own culture, influences how societies define, interpret, and respond to mental and behavioral disorders. Behavioral disorders—manifestations of maladaptive, socially disruptive, or norm-deviant behavior—are not culturally neutral phenomena. This review explores the interrelation between ethnocentric attitudes, diagnostic frameworks, and the understanding of behavioral disorders. It synthesizes literature on cultural psychiatry, diagnostic bias, and community mental health to demonstrate how ethnocentrism shapes perceptions of behavioral deviance, prevalence patterns, and clinical outcomes. The article also emphasizes implications for community medicine, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), and proposes culturally sensitive approaches to diagnosis, prevention, and management.

Keywords: *Ethnocentricity, culture, mental and behavioral disorders.*

Received on:10.01.26; **Revised on:** 08.04.26; **Accepted on:**13.02.26

Introduction

Human behavior is deeply embedded within cultural norms and value systems. Ethnocentricity—defined as the tendency to evaluate other cultures based on the standards of one's own—has profound implications for behavioral sciences and psychiatry.¹ In mental health, ethnocentrism often manifests in diagnostic systems, clinical judgments, and research paradigms that reflect the cultural assumptions of dominant Western societies.²

Behavioral disorders—such as conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, antisocial personality disorder, and other maladaptive behavioral patterns—are socially constructed to a significant degree. What one society labels as deviant may be regarded as normative or even adaptive in another context.³ Thus, ethnocentric frameworks risk pathologizing culturally distinctive behaviors or overlooking genuine behavioral pathology when expressed differently.

This review article examines the relationship between ethnocentricity and behavioral disorders by discussing conceptual foundations, empirical evidence, diagnostic implications, and community-medicine perspectives.

Conceptual Background

Ethnocentricity Defined

The term “ethnocentrism” was first introduced by William G. Sumner in 1906 to describe the universal human tendency to view one's own group as central and

superior.⁴ Ethnocentrism entails in-group favoritism and out-group bias, often accompanied by the belief that one's customs and worldview represent the universal standard for normality.⁵

In health and behavioral sciences, ethnocentrism is reflected when researchers, clinicians, or institutions interpret other cultural groups' behaviors through the lens of their own cultural expectations.⁶ This can lead to diagnostic bias, stigmatization, and inappropriate therapeutic interventions.

Behavioral Disorders and Cultural Context

Behavioral disorders refer to persistent patterns of disruptive or maladaptive behavior that impair functioning or violate social norms. Examples include conduct disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), oppositional defiant disorder, antisocial behavior, and certain personality disorders.⁷

However, social norms defining acceptable or deviant behavior vary across cultures. For instance, assertive or independent behavior may be valued in Western individualist societies but considered disrespectful or antisocial in collectivist cultures.⁸ Therefore, determining what constitutes a behavioral “disorder” inherently depends on cultural context—a reality that makes ethnocentrism particularly problematic in psychiatric classification.

Author's Affiliation:

1. *Prof. Dr. Monowar Ahmad Tarafdar, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Diabetic Association Medical College, Faridpur.

Address of Correspondence : *Prof. Dr. Monowar Ahmad Tarafdar, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Diabetic Association Medical College, Faridpur.

Ethnocentrism in Psychiatric and Behavioral Classification

Diagnostic Systems and Cultural Bias

The dominant diagnostic frameworks—the DSM-5 (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders) and ICD-11 (International Classification of Diseases)—originated primarily from Western cultural and clinical contexts. Consequently, their criteria reflect Western norms of behavior, emotion, and social functioning.⁹

Kleinman¹⁰ famously termed the “category fallacy” to describe the erroneous application of Western psychiatric categories to other cultures without accounting for cultural differences in symptom expression. For example, behaviors labeled as “oppositional” or “defiant” in Western contexts may represent culturally sanctioned expressions of autonomy or protest elsewhere.

Empirical evidence suggests that minority groups may be misdiagnosed or underdiagnosed due to such ethnocentric assumptions. Bhui et al.¹¹ found that Black and Asian groups were more likely to be diagnosed with schizophrenia than personality or behavioral disorders in UK psychiatric services—possibly reflecting diagnostic bias rather than true prevalence differences.

Ethnocentric Misinterpretation of Behavior

Cultural psychiatry research demonstrates that symptom expression varies widely across societies. In some cultures, psychological distress is expressed somatically (e.g., headache, fatigue) rather than behaviorally; in others, socially deviant behavior may be interpreted as spiritual possession or moral imbalance rather than illness.¹² When clinicians approach such phenomena ethnocentrically, they risk labeling culturally normative expressions as “disorders.”

For example, “amok,” a sudden violent outburst seen historically in Malaysia, was once considered a “culture-bound syndrome.”¹³ Contemporary cross-cultural psychiatry, however, interprets amok as a culturally framed expression of aggression rather than an exotic anomaly—illustrating the importance of contextual understanding.

Empirical Evidence on Ethnicity, Culture, and Behavioral Disorders

Prevalence and Diagnostic Disparities

Studies from high-income countries reveal striking ethnic differences in the prevalence of behavioral and personality disorders. McGilloy et al.,¹⁴ in a systematic review, found that Black groups were significantly less likely to be diagnosed with personality disorders than White groups (odds ratio 0.48), suggesting diagnostic bias rather than genuine prevalence differences. They concluded that methodological inconsistency and cultural insensitivity contributed to these disparities.

Similarly, Halvorsrud et al.¹⁵ demonstrated ethnic inequalities in psychosis pathways in England, showing that minority groups were more likely to enter care through coercive routes—reflecting structural and ethnocentric biases in mental-health systems.

Culture and Symptom Expression

Cultural values shape both the expression and interpretation of behavioral problems. In collectivist societies, behaviors emphasizing social harmony and obedience are valued, while defiant or disruptive behavior is seen as deviant.¹⁶ In contrast, individualist cultures often tolerate or even reward assertiveness and nonconformity. Thus, a behavior categorized as “oppositional” in one culture may be normative in another.

For example, attention-deficit behaviors among children are less frequently labeled as ADHD in East Asian contexts, where higher tolerance exists for variation in attention and activity levels, compared with Western diagnostic thresholds.¹⁷ These discrepancies highlight ethnocentric assumptions embedded in behavioral diagnostics.

Ethnocentricity in Research and Treatment Research Paradigms

Behavioral-disorder research has historically been dominated by Western samples, leading to the “WEIRD” bias—samples drawn from Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic societies.¹⁸ This limits the generalizability of findings and reinforces ethnocentric norms as universal benchmarks for human behavior.

Few studies investigate behavioral disorders in non-Western contexts, and many rely on imported diagnostic tools that lack cultural validation. In Bangladesh, for instance, prevalence estimates of mental and behavioral disorders vary widely (6.5–31%) due to inconsistent tools and diagnostic criteria.¹⁹

Treatment and Cultural Mismatch

Ethnocentrism also affects therapeutic approaches. Western models often emphasize individual psychotherapy and pharmacological interventions, while many non-Western cultures prioritize family-based, community-based, or spiritual healing modalities.²⁰ When mental-health services disregard these cultural preferences, treatment adherence and outcomes suffer.

Hall et al.²¹ highlighted that ICD-11 now includes “cultural guidance” sections to mitigate ethnocentrism, urging clinicians to consider cultural context in assessment and care. However, implementation remains uneven, particularly in LMICs.

Ethnocentricity and Community Mental Health

Cultural Norms and “Deviant” Behavior

From a community-medicine perspective, behavioral disorders are partly defined by how communities construct “normal” and “abnormal” conduct. In many collectivist societies (e.g., Bangladesh, India), behavioral conformity and respect for authority are highly valued. Therefore, individuals exhibiting independence, assertiveness, or rule-challenging behavior may be stigmatized as “disordered,” even when their behavior is adaptive in another context.²²

Conversely, imported Western frameworks may fail to recognize culturally specific problems. For example, culturally patterned responses such as trance states, spirit possession, or ritualized aggression may be pathologized rather than understood symbolically.²³

Stigma and Ethnocentrism

Ethnocentrism perpetuates stigma by labeling certain culturally shaped behaviors as deviant. This can lead to social exclusion and barriers to help-seeking. Public attitudes influenced by ethnocentric beliefs often equate behavioral disorders with moral failure rather than medical conditions (24). In Bangladesh and similar LMIC contexts, stigma and ethnocentric bias intersect, contributing to under-utilization of mental-health services.²⁵

Implications for Policy and Practice

Community-based mental-health programs should incorporate cultural competence training to reduce ethnocentric bias among healthcare workers. Local adaptation of diagnostic instruments, community engagement, and collaboration with traditional healers can bridge gaps between biomedical and cultural understandings of behavioral disorders.²⁶

Critical Appraisal and Gaps

Despite growing recognition of cultural influences, the literature remains limited and uneven. Major gaps include:

1. **Limited research in non-Western populations:** Most studies are from Western contexts, making cross-cultural generalization problematic.¹⁴
2. **Lack of culturally validated tools:** Diagnostic and screening instruments are rarely adapted linguistically or conceptually.¹⁹
3. **Conceptual ambiguity:** Ethnocentrism operates at multiple levels—individual, institutional, structural—making it difficult to measure empirically.²⁷

4. **Neglect of social determinants:** Ethnocentrism interacts with poverty, discrimination, and colonial legacies in shaping behavioral outcomes.²⁸

Future research should develop culturally grounded frameworks for behavioral disorders and examine ethnocentrism as a modifiable determinant of mental-health inequities.

Implications for Practice in Community Medicine

Cultural Competence and Training

Healthcare professionals should be trained to recognize ethnocentric assumptions in diagnosis and treatment. Cultural competence involves understanding patients’ cultural backgrounds, explanatory models, and behavioral norms.²⁹

Adaptation of Diagnostic Tools

Before using imported diagnostic criteria, practitioners should ensure local validation. Translating DSM or ICD items without cultural adaptation risks misclassification and overdiagnosis.³⁰

Integrative and Culturally Sensitive Care

Community medicine emphasizes preventive, promotive, and participatory approaches. Culturally tailored behavioral-health interventions—combining biomedical, psychosocial, and community resources—enhance relevance and acceptability.³¹

Policy Recommendations

- Incorporate cultural-psychiatry perspectives in national mental-health programs.
- Support local research on behavioral disorders using ethnically representative samples.
- Promote public education to reduce ethnocentric stigma.

Conclusion

Ethnocentricity profoundly shapes how behavioral disorders are defined, diagnosed, and managed. Dominant Western frameworks, while scientifically valuable, often impose cultural norms that may not fit non-Western populations. This ethnocentric bias can lead to diagnostic errors, stigmatization, and inequitable care.

In community medicine—particularly in culturally diverse and low-resource settings—recognizing and addressing ethnocentrism is crucial. Practitioners must develop cultural competence, adapt diagnostic instruments, and integrate community perspectives to ensure equitable, context-sensitive behavioral healthcare.

Future efforts should focus on decolonizing psychiatric classification, strengthening cross-cultural research, and empowering communities to define behavioral health in their own cultural terms.

References

1. Sumner WG. *Folkways: A Study of the Sociological Importance of Usages, Manners, Customs, Mores, and Morals*. Boston: Ginn; 1906.
2. Kleinman A. Anthropology and psychiatry: The role of culture in cross-cultural research on illness. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987;151:447-54.
3. Marsella AJ, Yamada AM. Culture and psychopathology: Foundations, issues, directions. *J Pac Rim Psychol*. 2007;1(2):103-15.
4. LeVine RA, Campbell DT. *Ethnocentrism: Theories of Conflict, Ethnic Attitudes, and Group Behavior*. New York: Wiley; 1972.
5. Brewer MB. The psychology of prejudice: Ingroup love or outgroup hate? *J Soc Issues*. 1999;55(3):429-44.
6. Bhui K, Bhugra D. Cross-cultural psychiatry: A practical guide. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2002;181(6):466-8.
7. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed.). Washington DC: APA; 2013.
8. Triandis HC. *Individualism and collectivism*. Westview Press; 1995.
9. Hall BJ, et al. Cultural considerations in the classification of mental disorders: why and how in ICD-11. *BMC Med*. 2020;18:25.
10. Kleinman A. Anthropology and psychiatry: The role of culture in cross-cultural research on illness. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987;151:447-54.
11. Bhui KS, et al. Ethnic variations in pathways to mental health care in England. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2003;182(2):105-16.
12. Kirmayer LJ, et al. The cultural context of anxiety disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 1996;19(3):503-21.
13. Hatta S. Amok: A culture-bound syndrome? *Br J Psychiatry*. 1982;140:84-9.
14. McGilloway A, et al. A systematic review of personality disorder, race and ethnicity: prevalence, aetiology and treatment. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2010;10:33.
15. Halvorsrud K, et al. Ethnic inequalities and pathways to care in psychosis in England: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med*. 2018;16:223.
16. Matsumoto D, Juang L. *Culture and Psychology*. 5th ed. Belmont: Wadsworth; 2013.
17. Hinshaw SP. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Cultural and cross-national considerations. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2018;20(9):75.
18. Henrich J, Heine SJ, Norenzayan A. The weirdest people in the world? *Behav Brain Sci*. 2010;33(2-3):61-83.
19. Islam A, Biswas T. Mental health and the health system in Bangladesh: Situation analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15:216.
20. Patel V, et al. Culture and mental health: Toward a contextual understanding. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2018;14:1-30.
21. Hall BJ, et al. Cultural considerations in the classification of mental disorders: why and how in ICD-11. *BMC Med*. 2020;18:25.
22. Kohrt BA, et al. Global mental health: Five areas for value-driven training innovation. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2016;40(4):650-8.
23. Kleinman A. *Rethinking psychiatry: From cultural category to personal experience*. Free Press; 1988.
24. Corrigan PW, et al. The impact of mental illness stigma on seeking and participating in mental health care. *Psychol Sci Public Interest*. 2014;15(2):37-70.
25. Hossain MD, et al. Mental disorders in Bangladesh: A systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14:216.
26. Bhugra D, et al. Cultural competence in mental health care: A review. *World Psychiatry*. 2011;10(1):72-80.
27. Berry JW. *Cultural psychology*. Cambridge Univ Press; 2011.
28. Fernando S. *Mental health, race and culture*. 3rd ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2010.
29. Sue S. In search of cultural competence in psychotherapy and counseling. *Am Psychol*. 1998;53(4):440-8.
30. van de Vijver FJR, Tanzer NK. Bias and equivalence in cross-cultural assessment. *Eur Rev Appl Psychol*. 2004;54(2):119-35.
31. Bhui K, et al. Integrating cultural and epidemiological approaches to mental health: The case of depression in Bangladesh. *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2012;49(2):185-204.